D-118

Appendix

D

## **HLW EIS Web Comments**

HLW & FD

EIS PROJECT - AR PF

RECEIVED

MAR 88 2000

From: Sent:

HLWFDEIS Web Site Tuesday, March 21, 2000 4:00 AM

To: web@jason.com web\_archive@jason.com Cc: Subject: HLW EIS Web Comment

Name: Lynn Sims Affiliation: Address1: 3959 NE 42 Address2:

City, State Zip: Portland, OR 97213 Telephone: 5032876329

Date Entered: {ts '2000-03-21 04:00:22'}

Idaho High-level Waste and Facilities Disposition DEIS

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

[Lattended the public meeting in Portland, OR and compliment the participants upon both the quality of presentation and 41-1, informative materials and displays. Unfortunately that meeting was not well-attended—not due to lack of interest, but IX.CO bacause of very poor publicity and communications.

Decisions regarding the "disposal" of high-level and related wastes should be made from this time forward when decisions 49.2 are being made to generate these terrible wastes in the first place. We must use more common sense, with a responsible III.F.[i] vision for the future. A lack of these elements will result in more serious complications, such as those that lead to this dilemma, and others all over the DOE complex.)

disemma, and others all over the DOE complex.]

Waste treatment alternatives should lean towards leaving liquid and calcinated waste as is, as long as their containment (1-80) structures are deemed safte and reliable Liquid wastes should be diminished in volume and converted if overwhelming 44,4 technical problems are not forthcoming 14 my point, the results of careful monitoring could prompt alternative waste III.AUtreatments in order to protect the environment and groundwater.

44-5 III.D.(5)

Since there is no vitrification facility at Hanford at this time and since there is no licensed HLW Repository, it seems II.E(I) premature to make a record of decision which definately include these options. It must also be remembered that many Hanford structures are already corroded and leaking and in serious emergency status. Until these problems are satisfactorily addressed, Hanford cannot accept more burden.

14.1 [Facility closures should be dtermined upon the risks to the environment and their ability to contain wastes and radiation. Yuli, & All facilities should be maintained as needed and depending upon the risk of failure be closed on a case by case basis]

After commenting for nearly a decade now upon many equally complicated and frightening environmental impact statements, I would surely hope that someone would, from this point forward, make it a crime to create any more chemical and radioactive waste which is not directly involved in a clean up effort.

It also should not have to be mentioned, but unfortunately it must be said that

more monies should be allocated to monitoring, maintenance, containment, clean up and research technology rather than χ(ω) going for wasteful projects such as stockpile stewardship, weapons research and star wars defense. We've already targeted our own homeland by mismanagement and wrong priorities. It is time to face up to our predicament and do what we can to avoid impending and future disaster.

Thank you to everyone who is working so hard on these tremendous issues

Document 50, Public Comment Hearing, February 17, 2000, Boise, ID Page 1 of 6

EIS PRUJEUT (AKITT HLW & FD Control # DC - SO **Original** United States Department of Energy IN RE: U.S. Department of Energy **BEFORE** Peter Richardson Hearing Examiner February 17, 2000, 6 p.m. Doubletree Riverside 2900 Chinden Boulevard Boise, Idaho Reported by Marta M. Rice CSR No. T-205 208-345-3704 • 1-800-424-2354 Fax 208-345-3713 605 WEST FORT STREET P.O. BOX 1625 • BOISE, ID 83701 Home Page: http://www.tuckercourtreporters.co E-Mail: tucker@tuckercourtreporters.com Realtime · CaseView/Livenote · Nationwide Case Management · Business Meetings · 10-Day Turnaround